



European Research Council Established by the European Commission

Multiple approaches to frame field correction for CAD models

Maxence Reberol*, Alexandre Chemin, Jean-François Remacle *Hextreme team (Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium)*

> 28th International Meshing Roundtable 14-17 October 2019, Buffalo, USA

Boundary-aligned frame fields for full hex meshing of CAD models



 $\min \int_{\Omega} ||\nabla \mathbf{f}||^2$ **f** // **n** on $\partial \Omega$

Main ideas:

$frame \ field \Leftrightarrow field \ of \ infinitesimal \ cubes$ $frame \ field \ singular \ curves \Leftrightarrow \ irregular \ edges \ of \ block \ decomposition$

Boundary-aligned frame fields for full hex meshing of CAD models



How to compute a 3D frame field from scratch ?

Minimize the Dirichlet energy

 $\min \int_{\Omega} ||\nabla \mathbf{f}||^2$ Tangency boundary conditions

 $\mathbf{f} // \mathbf{n}$ on $\partial \Omega$ Space of frames is not simple :

 $\mathcal{F} = SO(3)/O$ (with O the octahedral group)



Still an active research topic:

Huang et al. 2011, Li et al. 2012, Ray et al. 2016, Solomon et al. 2017., Chemin et al. 2018, Palmer et al. 2019, Golovaty et al. 2019, etc

When the singularity graph is known: Liu et al. 2018, Corman et al. 2019

Given a frame field, how to build a block-structured hexahedral mesh?

 $CubeCover \ parametrization \ (mixed-integer \ problem) + hex \ extraction:$

Nieser et al. 2011 Li et al. 2012 Lyon et al. 2016 and others



 $Dual\ surface\ construction + primalization:$

Zheng et al. 2018 Livesu et al. 2019



... works for some models, but not robust

Introduction

But some frame field singularities are not compatible with hex meshing !

State-of-the-art frame fields contain non "hex-meshable" singularities, e.g.:



List of valid vertex configurations (restricted to edge valence 3, 4, 5):



Introduction

3-5 singular curves : a common issue for CAD models



Introduction

Overview - Multiple approaches to frame field correction

1. Issue analysis



2. Extrusion of feature curves / bdr. singularilities





4. Invalid singular curve boundary snapping







Outline

Overview - Multiple approaches to frame field correction



2. Extrusion of feature curves / bdr. singularilities





3. Smoothing of feature curves



4. Invalid singular curve boundary snapping



Outline

Square with imprinted arc



Boundary conditions (red arrows)

Quad decomposition (dashed lines)



Cross field singularities : +1/4 (valence three)

-1/4 (valence five)

1. Issue analysis



See also Analysis of Non-Meshable Automatically Generated Frame Fields Viertel, Staten, Ledoux, 2016

1. Issue analysis



The z-axis branch is constant in the whole volume (singular curve not tangent with FF stable direction)

- minimize the Dirichlet energy (finite due to discretization)
 consistant with Laplacian kernel
- respect the boundary conditions

 $\min \int_{\Omega} ||\nabla \mathbf{f}||^2$ **f** // **n** on $\partial \Omega$

Same issue with all existing frame-field solvers

Feature curves in 3D: only the boundary is split



1. Issue analysis

Overview - Multiple approaches to frame field correction





2. Extrusion of feature curves / bdr. singularilities



3. Smoothing of feature curves



4. Invalid singular curve boundary snapping



Outline

Frame field correction: volume splitting via extrusion of feature curve



 Trace streamlines from concave and curved feature curves (green)
 Compute a new frame field with internal constraints (tangency to internal surfaces made of streamlines)

Frame field correction: extrusion of feature curve, examples



Internal constraints prevent the merge of val. 3 and val. 5 singularities

Frame field correction: extrusion of feature curve, failure case



Frame field correction: extrusion of feature curve



On "non trivial" models :

- lot of non orthogonal surface intersections
- hard to compute a new frame field because of BCs



Frame field correction: extrusion of boundary singular nodes

Alternative approach: extrude singular nodes [Zheng et al. 18]



Frame field correction: extrusion of boundary singular nodes



Frame field correction: conclusion on extrusion approaches

Extrusion, following frame field stable direction, of :



- boundary singular nodes



- Works on simple models (~ extruded 2D)
- Does not scale with model complexity (extrusion process can fail, new frame field cannot be computed, etc)

Overview - Multiple approaches to frame field correction

1. Issue analysis



2. Extrusion of feature curves / bdr. singularilities



3. Smoothing of feature curves



4. Invalid singular curve boundary snapping



Outline

Frame field correction: feature curve smoothing (corner in 2D)



- singular corners (val. \neq 2)
- no interior singularities



- interior singularities (val. \neq 4)

Frame field correction: feature curve smoothing, principle

(initial, invalid graph)



(smoothed, valid graph)



(block decomposition, by hand)



- bdr. singular node moved to fillet center
- mapping back to initial geom. is difficult
- corner with zero jacobian

Frame field correction: feature curve smoothing, CAD model



Frame field correction: feature curve smoothing, CAD model



"valid" singularity graph but:

- fine tet mesh (>10M tets)
- fillet not always working (CAD)
- more complicated graph, more difficult to build hex mesh and how to map back to initial ?

3. Smoothing of feature curves

Overview - Multiple approaches to frame field correction

1. Issue analysis



2. Extrusion of feature curves / bdr. singularilities





3. Smoothing of feature curves

4. Invalid singular curve boundary snapping





Frame field correction: boundary snapping of 3-5 sing. curves



Boundary hexahedral layer (colored blocks)



Without hex layer Equivalent to 3-5 sing. bdr. snapping Valid topology but corner with zero jacobian

Valid geometry with block decomposition refinement





After refinement of the block, valid geometry

Principle of 3-5 sing. curve boundary snapping



For each 3-5 singular curve:

- Move bdr. node extremity to closest feature curve
- Compute new boundary path between snapped extremities

Principle of 3-5 sing. curve boundary snapping



For each 3-5 singular curve:

Move bdr. node extremity to closest feature curve

Compute new boundary path between snapped extremities

Change the frame field boundary conditions:

On the snapped curves, imposed frames are rotated by 45° (around tangent)

Close to snapped curves, alignement boundary conditions are removed

The new frame field is **topoligcally valid but no longer boundary-aligned**



Frame field correction, results of 3-5 sing. curve boundary snapping





4. Invalid singular curve boundary snapping

Frame field correction, results of 3-5 sing. curve boundary snapping



Frame field correction, results of 3-5 sing. curve boundary snapping

To get hexahedral meshes :

- Frame field with new BCs (changed after snapping)
- CubeCover parameterisation (using CoMISo [Bommes et al. 2011])
- Hexahedra extraction (using HexEx [Lyon et al. 2016])



4. Invalid singular curve boundary snapping

Frame field correction, limitations of 3-5 sing. curve boundary snapping

- Not generic, only works when 3-5 singularities can be snapped on the boundary (but still very frequent with CAD models)



- Requires good quality frame field to extract singular curves and their valences
- Frame field is no longer boundary aligned (locally)
- CubeCover parametrization highly distorted

Conclusion on multiple approaches to frame field correction



Extrusion (curve or bdr. sing.) not reliable attempt of global correction



Smoothing / fillet : valid frame field but hard to use local correction by adding singular curves



3-5 singularity snapping : topologically valid frame field local correction by removing singular curves no longer boundary aligned require block refinement

Thank you for your attention

Any questions ?

